

January 15, 2021

John Harding Eagle Point School District #9 PO Box 548

Eagle Point, OR 97524 TEL: (541) 830-1240 FAX (541) 830-6375

RE: Little Butte Gym Order No.: 21010074

Dear John Harding:

Neilson Research Corporation received 2 sample(s) on 1/5/2021 for the analyses presented in the following report.

The results relate only to the parameters tested or to the sample as received by the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Neilson Research Corporation. If you have any questions regarding these test results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Neilson Research Corporation

Tampa Stomedeman

Tamra Schmedemann Senior Project Manager

245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501









Original



Neilson Research Corporation 245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501 TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901

Website: www.nrclabs.com

Case Narrative

WO#: **21010074**Date: **1/15/2021**

CLIENT: Eagle Point School District #9

Project: Little Butte Gym

The analyses were performed according to the guidelines in the Neilson Research Corporation Quality Assurance Program. This report contains analytical results for the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Neilson Research Corporation certifies that this report is in compliance with the requirements of NELAP. No unusual difficulties were experienced during analysis of this batch except as noted below or qualified with data flags on the reports.



Analytical Report

WO#: 21010074 Date Reported: 1/15/2021

Eagle Point School District #9

PO Box 548

Eagle Point, OR 97524

Sample Information:

Lab Order: 21010074

Received Date: 1/5/2021 8:40:00 AM

Reported Date: 1/15/2021 11:30:51 AM

Lab ID: 21010074-01 Client Sample ID: LB2

Collection Date: 1/5/2021 7:39:00 AM Collected By: Steven Lambert

Matrix: Drinking Water Sample Location: LB2

Analyst; SJS Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS **Date NELAP** MCL **Analyses** Result Qual **MRL** Units DF **Analyzed** Status Lead 0.103 μg/L 1/6/2021 15.0 Α

Lab ID: 21010074-02 Client Sample ID: LB3

Collection Date: 1/5/2021 7:37:00 AM Collected By: Steven Lambert

Matrix: Drinking Water Sample Location: LB3

Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS				Α	nalyst;	SJS		
Analyses	Result	Qual	MRL	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	MCL	NELAP Status
Lead	32.9	*	0.100	μg/L	1	1/6/2021	15.0	Α

UALIFIERS

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- C1 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- MI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference
- PL Permit Limit

Results are out of the EPA limits



QC SUMMARY REPORT

WO#: **21010074**

15-Jan-21

Client: Eagle Point School District #9

Project: Little Butte Gym TestCode: LEAD_DW

Project: Little Butte Gym	l		TestCode: L	EAD_DW
Sample ID: MB-10348	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: μg/L	Prep Date: 1/6/2021	RunNo: 18256
Client ID: PBW	Batch ID: 10348	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 1/6/2021	SeqNo: 266222
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Lead	ND	0.103		
Sample ID: LCS-10348	SampType: LCS	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: µg/L	Prep Date: 1/6/2021	RunNo: 18256
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: 10348	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 1/6/2021	SeqNo: 266223
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Lead	98.4	0.104 100 0	98.4 85 115	
Sample ID: 21010111-01AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: µg/L	Prep Date: 1/6/2021	RunNo: 18256
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 10348	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 1/6/2021	SeqNo: 266228
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Lead	88.8	0.104 100 0.129	88.7 70 130	
Sample ID: 21010111-01AMSD	SampType: MSD	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: µg/L	Prep Date: 1/6/2021	RunNo: 18256
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 10348	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 1/6/2021	SeqNo: 266229
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual
Lead	88.4	0.104 100 0.129	88.2 70 130 88.8	0.487 20

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

MI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceede

PL Permit Limit



QC SUMMARY REPORT

WO#: **21010074**

15-Jan-21

Client: Eagle Point School District #9

Project: Little Butte Gym TestCode: LEAD_DW

Froject: Little Butte Gym			Testcode: LEAD_DW								
Sample ID: MB-10347 Client ID: PBW	SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 10347	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: μg/L TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Prep Date: 1/6/2021 RunNo: 18256 Analysis Date: 1/6/2021 SeqNo: 266257								
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDL	imit Qual							
Lead	ND	0.100									
Sample ID: LCS-10347	SampType: LCS	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: μg/L	Prep Date: 1/6/2021 RunNo: 18256								
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: 10347	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 1/6/2021 SeqNo: 266258								
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDL	imit Qual							
Lead	97.2	0.100 100 0	97.2 85 115								
Sample ID: 21010070-01AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: μg/L	Prep Date: 1/6/2021 RunNo: 18256								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 10347	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 1/6/2021 SeqNo: 266260								
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDL	imit Qual							
Lead	89.5	0.100 100 0.241	89.2 70 130								
Sample ID: 21010070-01AMSD	SampType: MSD	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: µg/L	Prep Date: 1/6/2021 RunNo: 18256								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 10347	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 1/6/2021 SeqNo: 266261								
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDL	imit Qual							
Lead	90.0	0.100 100 0.241	89.8 70 130 89.5 0.611	20							

Qualifiers:

Original

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

MI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference

RL Reporting Detection Limit

¹ Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceede

PL Permit Limit



Cooler No

Temp ^oC

Neilson Research Corporation 245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501

TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901 Website: www.nrclabs.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: **EAGLEPTSCHOOL** Work Order Number: 21010074 RcptNo: 1 Carah M. Opence Miendle Haran Logged by: 1/5/2021 8:40:00 AM Sarah Spence Completed By: Michelle Harsh 1/7/2021 9:53:20 AM Naomi Orr Reviewed By: 1/15/2021 11:27:01 AM **Chain of Custody** Yes 🗸 No 🗌 Not Present 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? 2. How was the sample delivered? Client Log In Yes 🗌 NA 🗸 No \square 3. Coolers are present? Yes 🛂 No 🗌 4 Shipping container/cooler in good condition? Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? Not Present ✓ Seal Date: Signed By: NA 🗸 Yes No 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? NA 🗸 Yes No 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 🗸 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? No ~ 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No No 🗌 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes **✓** NA 🗌 HNO3 pH<2 11. Is the headspace in the VOA vials less than 1/4 inch or 6 mm? No VOA Vials No 🗸 Yes 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes 🗸 No 🗌 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes Yes 🗸 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes NA 🗸 Person Notified: Date By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax ☐ In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 18. Additional remarks: The samples submitted (01and 02) were tan in color. **Cooler Information**

Seal No

Seal Date | Signed By

Condition | Seal Intact

Chain of Custody Record

This Chain of Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT and must be filled out accurately.

Page	of

Section A		Section E	3					Section	n C					Section D				
Required Client Information		Required Project Information)	-	Invoice Information						Rush Status (Subject to Scheduling)				
Company: 25D7	Project Name:					vame: Little Dutte Cynt									Standard: 10 Business Days			
Address: P.O. BOX 548		Project Number:							Company Name:					Priority: 5 Business Days (List × 1.50)				
Foole foint, OR9	Report To:					Address:						Express: 3 Bus	Express: 3 Business Days (List × 1.75)					
	-W2.00	Срру То:												Rush: 2 Business Days (List × 2.00)				
Phone: 541-035-0327 Fax:									P.O. #					Rush: 1 Busine	Rush: 1 Business Day (List × 2.50)			
Collected By (Print): Steven Lamb	pest													Rush: Same Day (List × 3.00)				
Collected By (Sign):	A			+	Analysis Requested							Authorized Yes No						
Email Report Mail Report Fax Report	1																	
Wall report		J																
Section E Sample Information					iners	1			1	- 1				NRC Workorder # (Lab Use Only)	21010074			
Sample information					onta	8			T _X									
Sample ID	Comp/Grab	Matrix*	Date Collected	Time Collected	No. of Containers	2000	1						19-1	Remarks / Field Data	NRC Sample # Use Only)	(Lab		
1 22					Š,											37		
LDZ			1-5-21	7:39	/			_		\square	_				81			
LB3			1-5-21	7:37	1			-							02			
									<u> </u>							ilomie g		
															Para de Maria de Cara			
No. of the state o																		
													7 2					
*Matrix: DW - Drinking Water WW - Wastewater W -	Water S - Soil/S	Colid SI - S	Sludge O - Oil	WP - Wine O	- Oth	ler.			L									
Section F	vvater 3 - oom	Joild SE-C	nauge O - On	··· · · · · · · · · · ·	- Out									Section G				
Relinquish/Receive Prin						1)		Date			Time	Lab Use Only				
Relinquished By: Standard School			senle	Lambert				1-5-21 7:50			50	Temp: Am b						
Received By: John Agricano -			John Harding					1-5-217:50					20	≤6°C:Yes _x_No				
Relinquished By:				No.		J						SOL	Received on Ice:					
Received By:			/1	1 -			1 5 0 1 8 10					. / 1	Number of Bottles Received:					
Relinquished By. Amplanding		John Harding					1-3-21 8:40					40	pH Checked:					
Received By Laboratory: M. Speines	2		1	25	_				1-5	5.2		8	40					
\(\)													Received Vis	Field Blank Included				
									Pav	ment'	In	voice	Cash					
							Payment! Invoice Cash						VISA, M/C Check # Amount Effective 6/19/20:					



Data Flags

WO#: **21010074**Date: **1/15/2021**

- B Analyte detected in the associated method blank.
- BA BOD Alternative Calculation: The initial results performed by Standard Methods did not fall within parameters of the Standard Methods calculation. An alternate approved calculation was performed using the HACH method and the value reported is an estimated concentration.
- C Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria. See Case Narrative.
- C1 Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria for temperature.
- CF Results confirmed by re-analysis.
- CU Cleanup performed as specified by method.
- D1 The diesel elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- D2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than diesel.
- D3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than diesel.
- D4 Detected hydrocarbons do not have pattern and range consistent with typical petroleum products and may be due to biogenic interference.
- D5 Detected hydrocarbons in the diesel range appear to be weathered diesel.
- E Estimated value.
- ER Elevated reporting limit due to matrix. Report limits (MDLs, MRLs & PQLs) are adjusted based on variations in sample preparation amounts, analytical dilutions, and percent solids, where applicable.
- FC Fecal Coliforms: Sample(s) received past 40 CFR Part 136 specified holding time. Results reported as estimated values.
- G1 The gasoline elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- G2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
- G3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
- G4 Detected hydrocarbons in the gasoline range appear to be weathered gasoline.
- HP Sample re-analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
- HR Sample received outside of method specified holding time.
- HS Sample analyzed for volatile organics contained headspace.
- HT□ At the client's request, the sample was analyzed outside of method specified holding time.
- H Analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
- J Analyte detected below the Minimum Reporting Limit (MRL) and above the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The J flag result is an estimated value and the user should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
- L Dissolved metals were not filtered within 15 minutes of collection per 40 CFR Part 136.
- MI Surrogate, Duplicate Sample (DUP) or Matrix Spikes recoveries are out of control limits due to matrix interference. Sample results may be biased.
- N See Case Narrative on page 2 of report.
- NLR No Legionella Recovered.
- PLR Presence of Legionella Recovered.
- Q Initial calibration verification (ICV), continuing calibration verification (CCV) or laboratory control sample (LCS) exceeded high recovery limits, but associated samples are non-detect and the sample results are not affected. Data meets EPA/NELAP requirements.
- R Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits.
- R1 Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits. However, analyses are not controlled on RPD values for sample concentrations that are less than the reporting limit.
- R3 The relative percent difference (RPD) and/or percent recovery for the duplicate (DUP) or matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) cannot be accurately calculated due to the concentration of analyte already present in the sample.
- R4 Duplicate analysis failed due to result being at or near the method reporting limit.
- S Surrogate and/or matrix spike recovery is outside of the accepted recovery limits. Sample results may be biased.
- S1 Surrogate or matrix spike recovery is outside of control limits due to dilution necessary for analysis.
- SC Sub-contracted to another laboratory for analysis.
- SP Sample(s) were not collected per EPA Method 5035A protocols. The results are considered minimum values.
- # Value exceeds regulatory level for TCLP contaminant.
- X1 The motor oil elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- X2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
- X3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level or is outside the acceptable range.